Document 64

Filed 06/25/2008 Page 1 of 3

Case 3:07-cv-04087-JSW

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-13, Third-Party Defendant San Francisco Parking, Inc. ("City Park") notifies the Court of a related action entitled *Craig Yates v. Union Square; City and County of San Francisco; City of San Francisco Uptown Parking Corporation* pending in the Superior Court of California, San Francisco, Case Number CGC-08-473176 ("Yates State Action"). On January 27, 2008, Plaintiff Craig Yates ("Yates") filed a Complaint against Union Square, the City and County of San Francisco and San Francisco Uptown Parking Corporation alleging that he was denied full and equal access to the City's newly renovated Union Square and Union Square Parking Garage in violation of California's Disabled Rights Statutes. The Yates State Action was filed following the dismissal and severance of the state causes of action originally filed by Yates in the present action – U.S. District Court for Northern District entitled *Craig Yates v. Union Square, et al.*, Case No. C07-04087 JSW ("Yates Federal Action").

Similar to the Yates Federal Action, the Yates State Action alleges that Yates was discriminated against on the basis of his physical disability as the result of architectural access barriers in the construction and design of the renovated Union Square and the Union Square Parking Garage, including:

- No high top van parking;
- Not enough van spaces;
- Defective or missing signage for high top van parking;
- Obstructions in the crosswalks;
- No marked POT from some of the disabled parking to elevators;
- No overhead clearance for high top vans;
- Insufficient number of disabled parking facilities;
- Inaccessible wheelchair ramps; and
- Inaccessible ticket payment and service facilities and condiment counter

City Park has not been named as a defendant by Yates in either the Yates State Action or the Yates Federal Action. Both actions, however, appear to allegedly form the factual basis for the City and County of San Francisco and San Francisco Uptown Parking Corporation's ("Third-Party

Plaintiffs) Third-Party Complaint against City Park, filed on May 6, 2008. The Third-Party
Complaint alleges that City Park breached its contract to manage the parking of vehicles at the
Union Square Parking Garage by not agreeing to indemnify and defend Third-Party Plaintiffs against
the disability discrimination claims brought by Yates in the Yates Federal Action. Specifically,
Third-Party Plaintiffs claim that the Yates Federal Action is based on Third-Party Plaintiff's alleged
failure to "repair and maintain" the Union Street Parking Garage, and under their contract with City
Park, "repair and maintenance" are City Park's, not Third-Party Plaintiff's responsibility. As a
result, the Third-Party Plaintiffs blame City Park for Yates' disability discrimination claims against
them.

Since City Park has not been named as a party in the Yates State Action, and the Court has recently dismissed and severed Yates' state law discrimination claims, coordination between the Yates State Action and the present action is not an option.

Dated: June 25, 2008 Respectfully submitted,

## WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

/s/ Patrick M. Ryan PATRICK M. RYAN Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant SAN FRANCISCO PARKING, INC., DBA CITY PARK